

**Sample Peer Review Letter
Research Article**

Thank you so much for agreeing to peer review the manuscript [Title]

I will send it in a separate email.

We will look for your review by [date].

Your comments will inform our decision and may provide the basis for our letter to the author, either recommending revisions or explaining our decision not to publish. Detailed, constructive comments will therefore be of most use to us.

You might keep the following questions in mind as you evaluate the manuscript:

Does the paper make a significant contribution to the definition or understanding of public history?

Does the paper represent quality public history research, or does it report analytically on a significant public history project?

Does it employ innovative methodology?

Does it help define public history best practices?

Is the paper well written and organized?

Please also let us know whether you advise us to publish the manuscript as is, publish with minor in-house changes, return to the author for revisions, or decline to publish.

A note on confidentiality: The identity of TPH manuscript reviewers is kept confidential for a period of fifteen years, after which all journal files are made available to interested researchers at the National Council on Public History archives. We expect that the reviewer will treat the unpublished manuscript confidentially, and refrain from revealing its contents or making public comments about it.

Please send your comments to me via e-mail at scase@history.ucsb.edu as a word document attachment. We are also happy to accept suggestions or comments on the text done in track changes.

Thank you in advance for your help. The editorial team at *The Public Historian* very much appreciates being able to benefit from your expertise.